Further Existence Results of Decompositions of Permutation Polynomial

Samuele Andreoli, George Petrides

UNIVERSITY OF BERGEN

[Our Contribution](#page-18-0)

[Conclusions](#page-31-0)

Why decompositions?

Permutation polynomials (PP) are at the base of many cryptographic primitives

- \blacksquare the inverse power function, notably, used for instance in AES
- generally, to achieve good cryptographic properties, have high degree

Much effort has gone into breaking down high degree PP into lower degree ones

- Reduce the area necessary for hardware implementations
- **Enable area/latency tradeoffs**

Facilitate the usage of side-channel countermeasures, like masking or TIs

Why decompositions?

Permutation polynomials (PP) are at the base of many cryptographic primitives

- \blacksquare the inverse power function, notably, used for instance in AES
- generally, to achieve good cryptographic properties, have high degree

Much effort has gone into breaking down high degree PP into lower degree ones

- \blacksquare Reduce the area necessary for hardware implementations
- Enable area/latency tradeoffs
- **Facilitate the usage of side-channel countermeasures, like masking or** TIs

A function $F: \mathbb{F}_p^n \to \mathbb{F}_p^n$ is called an (n, n) –*function*.

A (*n*, *n*)−function admits a representation as a univariate polynomial over F*^p n* , called *univariate representation*,

$$
F(x)=\sum_{i=0}^{p^n-1}\alpha_i x^i.
$$

The *algebraic degree* of F is $\mathrm{d}^{\circ}(F) = \max_{\alpha_i \neq 0} \mathrm{w}_p(i),$ where w_n is the *p*−weight.

A *power function* is a monomial $x^k, \ 1 \leq k < p^n-1$ and $\mathrm{d}^\circ(F) = \mathrm{w}_p(k).$ An invertible power function is a *power permutation*.

A function $F: \mathbb{F}_p^n \to \mathbb{F}_p^n$ is called an (n, n) –*function*.

A (*n*, *n*)−function admits a representation as a univariate polynomial over F*^p n* , called *univariate representation*,

$$
F(x)=\sum_{i=0}^{p^n-1}\alpha_ix^i.
$$

The *algebraic degree* of F is $\mathrm{d}^{\circ}(F) = \max_{\alpha_i \neq 0} \mathrm{w}_p(i),$ where w_n is the *p*−weight.

A *power function* is a monomial $x^k, \ 1 \leq k < p^n-1$ and $\mathrm{d}^\circ(F) = \mathrm{w}_p(k).$ An invertible power function is a *power permutation*.

A function $F: \mathbb{F}_p^n \to \mathbb{F}_p^n$ is called an (n, n) –*function*.

A (*n*, *n*)−function admits a representation as a univariate polynomial over F*^p n* , called *univariate representation*,

$$
F(x)=\sum_{i=0}^{p^n-1}\alpha_ix^i.
$$

The *algebraic degree* of F is $\mathrm{d}^{\circ}(F) = \max_{\alpha_i \neq 0} \mathrm{w}_p(i),$ where w_p is the *p*−weight.

A *power function* is a monomial $x^k, \, 1 \leq k < p^n-1$ and $\mathrm{d}^\circ(F) = \mathrm{w}_p(k).$ An invertible power function is a *power permutation*.

A function $F: \mathbb{F}_p^n \to \mathbb{F}_p^n$ is called an (n, n) –*function*.

A (*n*, *n*)−function admits a representation as a univariate polynomial over F*^p n* , called *univariate representation*,

$$
F(x)=\sum_{i=0}^{p^n-1}\alpha_ix^i.
$$

The *algebraic degree* of F is $\mathrm{d}^{\circ}(F) = \max_{\alpha_i \neq 0} \mathrm{w}_p(i),$ where w_p is the *p*−weight.

A *power function* is a monomial $x^k, \, 1 \leq k < p^n-1$ and $\mathrm{d}^\circ(F) = \mathrm{w}_p(k).$ An invertible power function is a *power permutation*.

Decomposition

A *decomposition* of a (*n*, *n*)−function *F* is a sequence of (*n*, *n*)−functions such that

 $F = G_1 \circ \cdots \circ G_\ell$.

For applications in hardware implementations, especially masked implementations, goals are

- algebraic degree of G_i should be small (typically 2 or 3),
- \blacksquare ℓ should also be as small as possible.

Decomposition

A *decomposition* of a (*n*, *n*)−function *F* is a sequence of (*n*, *n*)−functions such that

 $F = G_1 \circ \cdots \circ G_\ell$.

For applications in hardware implementations, especially masked implementations, goals are

- algebraic degree of G_i should be small (typically 2 or 3),
- \blacksquare ℓ should also be as small as possible.

Carlitz Theorem [\[Car53\]](#page-36-1)

Let \mathbb{F}_q be a finite field, then all permutation polynomials are generated by *x*^{−1} = *x*^{q−2} and the affine polynomials *ax* + *b*, with *a*, *b* ∈ \mathbb{F}_q , *a* ≠ 0.

Which means, for any $F(x)$ permutation polynomial in $\mathbb{F}_{\rho^n}[x],$

$$
F(x) = A_1(x) \circ x^{-1} \circ A_2(x) \circ x^{-1} \circ \cdots \circ A_{\ell-1}(x) \circ x^{-1} \circ A_{\ell}(x),
$$

and $A_i(x) = a_i x + b_i$.

Further need to decompose x^{-1} into low algebraic degree functions G_i .

- use generic low degree polynomials,
- use low degree **power permutations**

Carlitz Theorem [\[Car53\]](#page-36-1)

Let \mathbb{F}_q be a finite field, then all permutation polynomials are generated by *x*^{−1} = *x*^{q−2} and the affine polynomials *ax* + *b*, with *a*, *b* ∈ \mathbb{F}_q , *a* ≠ 0.

Which means, for any $F(x)$ permutation polynomial in $\mathbb{F}_{\rho^n}[x],$

$$
F(x) = A_1(x) \circ x^{-1} \circ A_2(x) \circ x^{-1} \circ \cdots \circ A_{\ell-1}(x) \circ x^{-1} \circ A_{\ell}(x),
$$

and $A_i(x) = a_i x + b_i$.

Further need to decompose x^{-1} into low algebraic degree functions G_i .

- \blacksquare use generic low degree polynomials,
- use low degree **power permutations**

Carlitz Theorem [\[Car53\]](#page-36-1)

Let \mathbb{F}_q be a finite field, then all permutation polynomials are generated by *x*^{−1} = *x*^{q−2} and the affine polynomials *ax* + *b*, with *a*, *b* ∈ \mathbb{F}_q , *a* ≠ 0.

Which means, for any $F(x)$ permutation polynomial in $\mathbb{F}_{\rho^n}[x],$

$$
F(x) = A_1(x) \circ x^{-1} \circ A_2(x) \circ x^{-1} \circ \cdots \circ A_{\ell-1}(x) \circ x^{-1} \circ A_{\ell}(x),
$$

and $A_i(x) = a_i x + b_i$.

Further need to decompose x^{-1} into low algebraic degree functions G_i .

- use generic low degree polynomials,
- use low degree **power permutations**

Find decomposition

$$
x^d=x^{e_1}\circ\ldots\circ x^{e_\ell},
$$

where all power functions have algebraic degree no greater than two (or three).

The problem is equivalent to finding

$$
d = e_1 \ldots e_\ell \pmod{p^n-1},
$$

where all factors have *p*−weight no greater than two (or three).

Find decomposition

$$
x^d=x^{e_1}\circ\ldots\circ x^{e_\ell},
$$

where all power functions have algebraic degree no greater than two (or three).

The problem is equivalent to finding

$$
d=e_1\ldots e_\ell\pmod{p^n-1},
$$

where all factors have *p*−weight no greater than two (or three).

Previous Work

Search algorithm for $p = 2$ in [\[NNR19\]](#page-36-2)

- Compute all exponents *b* of 2−weight 2 in *Z* ∗ *p ⁿ*−1 .
- \blacksquare Compute their orders m_b .
- **Try all combinations of Π** $_ib_i^{e_i}$ **for** $e_i = 0, ..., m_{b_i}$ **.**</sub>

Later improved by Petrides in [\[Pet23\]](#page-36-3).

Decompositions for the inverse for infinite values of odd *n*

- using only quadratic power permutations [\[Pet23\]](#page-36-3)
- using quadratic and cubic power permutations [\[LSaa23\]](#page-36-4).
- using one quadratic power permutation $[APB+23]$ $[APB+23]$

Previous Work

Search algorithm for $p = 2$ in [\[NNR19\]](#page-36-2)

- Compute all exponents *b* of 2−weight 2 in *Z* ∗ *p ⁿ*−1 .
- \blacksquare Compute their orders m_b .

Try all combinations of Π $_ib_i^{e_i}$ **for** $e_i = 0, ..., m_{b_i}$ **.**</sub>

Later improved by Petrides in [\[Pet23\]](#page-36-3).

Decompositions for the inverse for infinite values of odd *n* ■ using only quadratic power permutations [\[Pet23\]](#page-36-3) using quadratic and cubic power permutations [\[LSaa23\]](#page-36-4). using one quadratic power permutation $[APB+23]$ $[APB+23]$

Previous Work

Search algorithm for $p = 2$ in [\[NNR19\]](#page-36-2)

- Compute all exponents *b* of 2−weight 2 in *Z* ∗ *p ⁿ*−1 .
- \blacksquare Compute their orders m_b .

Try all combinations of Π $_ib_i^{e_i}$ **for** $e_i = 0, ..., m_{b_i}$ **.**</sub>

Later improved by Petrides in [\[Pet23\]](#page-36-3).

Decompositions for the inverse for infinite values of odd *n*

- using only quadratic power permutations [\[Pet23\]](#page-36-3)
- using quadratic and cubic power permutations [\[LSaa23\]](#page-36-4).
- using one quadratic power permutation [\[APB](#page-36-5)⁺23]

A criterion for the decomposition of the inverse

Lemma

Let *n* be an integer and x^d a power permutation of $\mathbb{F}_{2^n}.$ The inversion power permutation in \mathbb{F}_{2^n} admits a decomposition into the power function x^d if and only if $\mathrm{ord}\,(\mathcal{d})$ is even and $\gcd\left(2^n-1, d^{\frac{\mathrm{ord}\left(\mathcal{d}\right)}{2}}-1\right)=1.$

If ord (*d*) is even, then

$$
d^{\textup{ord}(d)}-1\equiv \left(d^{\frac{\textup{ord}(d)}{2}}-1\right)\left(d^{\frac{\textup{ord}(d)}{2}}+1\right)\equiv 0\pmod{2^n-1}.
$$

A criterion for the decomposition of the inverse

Lemma

Let *n* be an integer and x^d a power permutation of $\mathbb{F}_{2^n}.$ The inversion power permutation in \mathbb{F}_{2^n} admits a decomposition into the power function x^d if and only if $\mathrm{ord}\,(\mathcal{d})$ is even and $\gcd\left(2^n-1, d^{\frac{\mathrm{ord}\left(\mathcal{d}\right)}{2}}-1\right)=1.$

If ord (*d*) is even, then

$$
d^{\operatorname{ord}(d)}-1\equiv \left(d^{\frac{\operatorname{ord}(d)}{2}}-1\right)\left(d^{\frac{\operatorname{ord}(d)}{2}}+1\right)\equiv 0\pmod{2^n-1}.
$$

Speeding up the search

Efficient to check if the order is even computing the Jacobi Symbol Computing ord (*d*) /2 and checking directly is more efficient than computing the gcd

Next step, try to reduce the search space with some equivalence relation.

Let $n > 3$ and *d* be integers such that the conditions of the Lemma are satisfied. The conditions are also satisfied for *n* and $d' = 2^i d$ if and only if

Only need to test $d' = 2^i d$ for $i = 0, \ldots, \nu_2(n)$ for each d .

Speeding up the search

- **Efficient to check if the order is even computing the Jacobi Symbol**
- Computing ord (*d*) /2 and checking directly is more efficient than computing the gcd

Next step, try to reduce the search space with some equivalence relation.

Proposition

Let $n > 3$ and d be integers such that the conditions of the Lemma are satisfied. The conditions are also satisfied for *n* and $d' = 2^i d$ if and only if $\nu_2(n) \leq \nu_2(i)$.

■ Only need to test
$$
d' = 2^i d
$$
 for $i = 0, ..., \nu_2(n)$ for each d .

Further improvement is possible for quadratic *d*.

Theorem. (A., Piccione, Budaghyan, Stănică, Nikova, 2023)

Let $n \geq 3$. All permutations over \mathbb{F}_{2^n} admit a decomposition using quadratic and affine permutations if and only if 4 ∤ *n*.

Test one representative *d* from each cyclotomic class, and 2*d* if *n* is even.

More efficient computational search than previously known, with caveats:

- **Night return a longer than optimal decomposition**
- It does not return for all possible *n*

We can further tweak the algorithm to achieve shorter decompositions.

 $3^{422} \equiv 2^8 \cdot 9 \pmod{2^{17}-1},$ then $-1 \equiv 3^{405} \equiv 2^8 \cdot 3 \cdot 9^{17} \pmod{2^{17}-1}.$ Repeat with 9^{17} to obtain the shortest decomposition.

Further improvement is possible for quadratic *d*.

Theorem. (A., Piccione, Budaghyan, Stănică, Nikova, 2023)

Let $n \geq 3$. All permutations over \mathbb{F}_{2^n} admit a decomposition using quadratic and affine permutations if and only if 4 ∤ *n*.

Test one representative *d* from each cyclotomic class, and 2*d* if *n* is even.

More efficient computational search than previously known, with caveats:

- Might return a longer than optimal decomposition
- It does not return for all possible *n*

We can further tweak the algorithm to achieve shorter decompositions.

 $3^{422} \equiv 2^8 \cdot 9 \pmod{2^{17}-1},$ then $-1 \equiv 3^{405} \equiv 2^8 \cdot 3 \cdot 9^{17} \pmod{2^{17}-1}.$ Repeat with 9^{17} to obtain the shortest decomposition.

Further improvement is possible for quadratic *d*.

Theorem. (A., Piccione, Budaghyan, Stănică, Nikova, 2023)

Let $n \geq 3$. All permutations over \mathbb{F}_{2^n} admit a decomposition using quadratic and affine permutations if and only if 4 ∤ *n*.

Test one representative *d* from each cyclotomic class, and 2*d* if *n* is even.

More efficient computational search than previously known, with caveats:

- **Might return a longer than optimal decomposition**
- It does not return for all possible *n*

We can further tweak the algorithm to achieve shorter decompositions.

Example. $n = 17$, $d = 3$

 $3^{422} \equiv 2^8 \cdot 9 \pmod{2^{17} - 1}$, then $-1 \equiv 3^{405} \equiv 2^8 \cdot 3 \cdot 9^{17} \pmod{2^{17} - 1}$. Repeat with 9^{17} to obtain the shortest decomposition.

Computational results

We run an exhaustive search for *n* up to 125 and we find many *n* for which the Lemma is satisfied.

3, 5, 6, 7, 10, 13, 14, 15, 17, 19, 23, 26, 30, 31, 34, 38, 43, 46, . . .

Crucially, we might find families of **even** values of *n*.

The case of cubics: satisfied for all values up to 100, except

16, 32, 40, 48, 56, 60, 63, 64, 72, 75, 81, 84, 88, 96, . . .

■ Optimal length achieved for some (sparse) values of *n*. **Improvement of some order of magnitude for most decompositions found** in [\[LSaa23\]](#page-36-4).

Computational results

We run an exhaustive search for *n* up to 125 and we find many *n* for which the Lemma is satisfied.

3, 5, 6, 7, 10, 13, 14, 15, 17, 19, 23, 26, 30, 31, 34, 38, 43, 46, . . .

Crucially, we might find families of **even** values of *n*.

The case of cubics: satisfied for all values up to 100, except

16, 32, 40, 48, 56, 60, 63, 64, 72, 75, 81, 84, 88, 96, . . .

■ Optimal length achieved for some (sparse) values of *n*. **Improvement of some order of magnitude for most decompositions found** in [\[LSaa23\]](#page-36-4).

Computational results

We run an exhaustive search for *n* up to 125 and we find many *n* for which the Lemma is satisfied.

3, 5, 6, 7, 10, 13, 14, 15, 17, 19, 23, 26, 30, 31, 34, 38, 43, 46, . . .

Crucially, we might find families of **even** values of *n*.

The case of cubics: satisfied for all values up to 100, except

16, 32, 40, 48, 56, 60, 63, 64, 72, 75, 81, 84, 88, 96, . . .

■ Optimal length achieved for some (sparse) values of *n*.

Improvement of some order of magnitude for most decompositions found in [\[LSaa23\]](#page-36-4).

The case of quadratics

Conjecture

The conditions of the Lemma are satisfied by some quadratic *d* for an odd integer *n* if and only if they are also satisfied for 2*n*.

Computationally verified for $n \geq 125$.

Why only a conjecture?

- Hard to prove the condition on the gcd if $2ⁿ 1$ has many factors.
- No single *d* can be used for all even *n*.

The case of quadratics

Conjecture

The conditions of the Lemma are satisfied by some quadratic *d* for an odd integer *n* if and only if they are also satisfied for 2*n*.

■ Computationally verified for *n* ≥ 125.

Why only a conjecture?

- Hard to prove the condition on the gcd if $2ⁿ 1$ has many factors.
- No single *d* can be used for all even *n*.

Theorem

Let *p* be a prime such that $2^p - 1$ is also prime (a Mersenne prime). Then, the inversion power permutation in both \mathbb{F}_{2^p} and $\mathbb{F}_{2^{2p}}$ has a decomposition into quadratic power permutations.

Proof by finding a suitable $d = 2^{p-1} + 1$ and proving it satisfies the conditions of the Lemma.

Trivial for $n = p$.

For $n=2p,$ the key is that $d^{-1}\equiv 2\pmod{2^n-1},$ so we can rewrite

$$
\gcd\left(2^p+1, d^{\frac{ord_{2^2p-1}(d)}{2}}-1\right)=\gcd\left(2^p+1, 2^{\frac{ord_{2^2p-1}(d)}{2}}-1\right)
$$

Work in progress

Proving the conjecture for quadratics and using it to find other families. $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}$

The case of cubics

- \blacksquare The conjecture might also hold for cubics
- Harder to find patterns when most values of *n* have suitable *d*
- **Finding families of** *d* **and** *n* **might be the right direction.**

Tweak the algorithm to obtain shorter decompositions

- Is the greedy approach sufficient to produce short decompositions?
- Is there an approach to guarantee optimal decompositions? $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}$
- Can we theoretically prove the existence of such congruences?

Work in progress

Proving the conjecture for quadratics and using it to find other families.

The case of cubics

- \blacksquare The conjecture might also hold for cubics
- Harder to find patterns when most values of *n* have suitable *d*
- Finding families of *d* and *n* might be the right direction.

Tweak the algorithm to obtain shorter decompositions

- \blacksquare Is the greedy approach sufficient to produce short decompositions?
- Is there an approach to guarantee optimal decompositions?
- Can we theoretically prove the existence of such congruences?

Work in progress

Proving the conjecture for quadratics and using it to find other families.

The case of cubics

- \blacksquare The conjecture might also hold for cubics
- Harder to find patterns when most values of *n* have suitable *d*
- Finding families of *d* and *n* might be the right direction.

Tweak the algorithm to obtain shorter decompositions

- \blacksquare Is the greedy approach sufficient to produce short decompositions?
- Is there an approach to guarantee optimal decompositions?
- Can we theoretically prove the existence of such congruences?

Conclusions

- Criterion easy(ish) to use in proofs to find families
- First family of decompositions of the inverse for even values of *n*.
- With a small tweak, also efficient for computational searches
- Produce shorter decompositions than the state of the art

Thank you!

Questions?

Conclusions

- Criterion easy(ish) to use in proofs to find families
- First family of decompositions of the inverse for even values of *n*.
- With a small tweak, also efficient for computational searches
- Produce shorter decompositions than the state of the art

Thank you!

Questions?

- Samuele Andreoli, Enrico Piccione, Lilya Budaghyan, Pantelimon Stănică, and Svetla Nikova, On decompositions of permutations in *quadratic functions*, Cryptology ePrint Archive, Paper 2023/1632, 2023, [https://eprint.iacr.org/2023/1632.](https://eprint.iacr.org/2023/1632)
- L. Carlitz, *Permutations in a finite field*, 1953.
- Florian Luca, Santanu Sarkar, and Pantelimon Stănică, *Representing the inverse map as a composition of quadratics in a finite field of characteristic* 2, arXiv (2023).
- Svetla Nikova, Ventzislav Nikov, and Vincent Rijmen, *Decomposition of permutations in a finite field*, Cryptogr. Commun. **11** (2019), no. 3, 379–384.
- George Petrides, *On decompositions of permutation polynomials into quadratic and cubic power permutations*, Cryptogr. Commun. **15** (2023), no. 1, 199–207.

