

On the number of relevant variables for discrete functions

Vladimir N. Potapov

independent researcher, Siberia

Boolean Functions and their Applications, September 9-13, 2024,
Inter-University Centre Dubrovnik, Croatia

Boolean functions

$\mathbb{F}_2 = \{0, 1\}$.

$\langle \mathbb{F}_2^n, \oplus \rangle$ is an n -dimensional vector space over \mathbb{F}_2 .

$f : \mathbb{F}_2^n \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_2$ is a **Boolean function** on n variables.

Every Boolean function can be represented in the **algebraic normal form** (ANF)

$$f(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \bigoplus_{y \in \mathbb{F}_2^n} M_f(y) x_1^{y_1} \cdots x_n^{y_n}, \quad (1)$$

where $x^0 = 1, x^1 = x$, $M_f : \mathbb{F}_2^n \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_2$ is the Möbius transform of f .

The **weight** of $y \in \mathbb{F}_2^n$ is the number of nonzero coordinates of y .

The **algebraic degree** of f is called the maximal degree of the monomial in ANF, i. e., $\deg_{alg}(f) = \max_{M_f(y)=1} \text{wt}(y)$.

Boolean functions

$l_u : \mathbb{F}_2^n \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_2$ is a **linear function** if

$$l_u(x) = \langle u, x \rangle = u_1x_1 \oplus u_2x_2 \oplus \cdots \oplus u_nx_n, \quad u \in \mathbb{F}_2^n,$$

$$l_{\mathbf{1}}(x) = x_1 \oplus x_2 \oplus \cdots \oplus x_n.$$

pseudo-Boolean functions

A real-valued function $f : \mathbb{F}_2^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is called a **pseudo-Boolean function**.

$V = \{f : \mathbb{F}_2^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}\}$ is a 2^n -dimensional vector space over \mathbb{R} .

Every pseudo-Boolean function can be represented in the **numerical normal form (NNF)**

$$f(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \sum_{y \in \mathbb{F}_2^n} a(y) x_1^{y_1} \cdots x_n^{y_n}, \quad (2)$$

where $x^0 = 1$, $x^1 = x$, $a(y), x_i \in \mathbb{R}$.

The **numerical degree** of f is called the maximal degree of the monomial in NNF, i. e., $\deg_{num}(f) = \max_{a(y) \neq 0} \text{wt}(y)$.

inequalities for degrees

$$(-1)^b = 1 - 2b \text{ if } b \in \{0, 1\} \subset \mathbb{R}.$$

$$f(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \bigoplus_{y \in \mathbb{F}_2^n} a(y) x_1^{y_1} \cdots x_n^{y_n}, \quad a(y) = M_f(y),$$

$$(-1)^{f(x_1, \dots, x_n)} = \prod_{y \in \mathbb{F}_2^n} (-1)^{a(y) x_1^{y_1} \cdots x_n^{y_n}},$$

$$1 - 2f(x) = \prod_{y \in \mathbb{F}_2^n} (1 - 2a(y) x_1^{y_1} \cdots x_n^{y_n}).$$

$x^2 = x$ if $x \in \{0, 1\} \subset \mathbb{R}$ then

$$\deg_{alg}(f) \leq \deg_{num}(f) = \deg_{num}((-1)^f).$$

Walsh–Hadamard transform

The **Walsh–Hadamard transform** of a Boolean function f is

$$W_f(y) = \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_2^n} (-1)^{f(x)} (-1)^{\langle y, x \rangle}.$$

$\mathcal{W}(f) = \{W_f(y) | y \in \mathbb{F}_2^n\}$ is the **Walsh spectrum** of f .

$\{(-1)^{\langle y, x \rangle} : y \in \mathbb{F}_2^n\}$ is an orthogonal basis in V .

$$(-1)^{f(x)} = \frac{1}{2^n} \sum_{y \in \mathbb{F}_2^n} W_f(y) (-1)^{\langle y, x \rangle}.$$

$$(-1)^{\langle y, x \rangle} = \prod_{i=1}^n (-1)^{y_i x_i} = \prod_{i=1}^n (1 - 2y_i x_i).$$

Walsh–Hadamard transform

$$(-1)^f(x) = \frac{1}{2^n} \sum_{y \in \mathbb{F}_2^n} W_f(y) (-1)^{\langle y, x \rangle}.$$

$$(-1)^{\langle y, x \rangle} = \prod_{i=1}^n (-1)^{y_i x_i} = \prod_{i=1}^n (1 - 2y_i x_i).$$

Then $\deg_{num}(f) = \deg_{num}((-1)^f) = \max_{W_f(y) \neq 0} \text{wt}(y)$.

Relevant variables

Given a function f on T^n , a variable x_i , $1 \leq i \leq n$, is called **relevant (essential, or effective)** if there exist $a_1, \dots, a_{i-1}, a_{i+1}, \dots, a_n \in T$ and $b, c \in T$ such that

$$f(a_1, \dots, a_{i-1}, b, a_{i+1}, \dots, a_n) \neq f(a_1, \dots, a_{i-1}, c, a_{i+1}, \dots, a_n).$$

Denote by $t(f)$ the number of relevant variables of f .

From the definitions, $\deg_{alg}(f) \leq t(f)$ and $\deg_{num}(f) \leq t(f)$.
Is there any opposite inequality?

(i) consider **Boolean** function $\ell_1(x) = x_1 \oplus \dots \oplus x_n$, then $\deg_{alg}(\ell_1) = 1$, $\deg_{num}(\ell_1) = n$, $t(\ell_1) = n$;

(ii) consider **real-valued** function

$j(x) = (-1)^{x_1} + \dots + (-1)^{x_n} = n - 2(x_1 + \dots + x_n)$, then $\deg_{num}(j) = 1$, $t(j) = n$.

Let f be a **Boolean** function and $d = \deg_{Num}(f)$. Then

- $t(f) \leq d2^{d-1}$ Nisan and Szegedy (1994);
- $t(f) \leq 6.614 \cdot 2^d$ Chiarelli, Hatami and Saks (2020);
- $t(f) \leq 4.394 \cdot 2^d$ Wellens (2022).

q-ary Fourier–Hadamard transform

We consider the linear space $V(\mathbb{Z}_q^n)$ of complex valued functions with finite domain $\mathbb{Z}_q^n = (\mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z})^n$. Let $\xi = e^{2\pi i/q}$. We can define characters of \mathbb{Z}_q^n as $\phi_z(x) = \xi^{\langle x, z \rangle}$, where and $\langle x, z \rangle = x_1 z_1 + \cdots + x_n z_n \pmod q$ for each $z \in \mathbb{Z}_q^n$.

Consider the expansion of $f \in V(\mathbb{Z}_q^n)$ with respect to the basis of characters

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{q^n} \sum_{z \in \mathbb{Z}_q^n} W_f(z) \phi_z(x),$$

where $W_f(z) = (f, \phi_z)$ are called the **Fourier–Hadamard** coefficients of f .

degrees of q -ary functions

Below we will consider \mathbb{Z}_q as the set $\{-\frac{q-2}{2}, \dots, -1, 0, 1, \dots, q/2\}$ if q is even and as the set $\{-\frac{q-1}{2}, \dots, -1, 0, 1, \dots, \frac{q-1}{2}\}$ if q is odd. Define the m th degree of ϕ_z , $z = (z_1, \dots, z_n)$, as the sum $\deg_m(\phi_z) = \sum_{k=1}^n |z_k|^m$.

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{q^n} \sum_{z \in \mathbb{Z}_q^n} W_f(z) \phi_z(x),$$

$$\deg_m(f) = \max_{W_f(z) \neq 0} \deg_m(\phi_z).$$

degrees of q -ary functions

Let f be a Boolean-valued function on \mathbb{Z}_q^n and $d = \deg_0(f)$. Then

- $t(f) \leq 4.394 \cdot 2^{\lceil \log_2 q \rceil d}$ Filmus and Ihringer (2019), Wellens (2022);
- $t(f) \leq \frac{dq^{d+1}}{4(q-1)}$ Valyuzhenich (2024).

Theorem

$$t(f) \leq \frac{1}{4}\pi^2 \deg_1(f) q^{\deg_0(f)-1};$$

$$t(f) \leq \frac{1}{2}\pi^2 \deg_2(f) q^{\deg_0(f)-2}.$$

Example

For $q = 3$ the presented bounds are weaker than Valyuzhenich's bound.

$q = 4$. Let $h : \mathbb{Z}_4 \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$ be defined by the vector of values $(1, 1, 0, 0)$. Consider $f_m : \mathbb{Z}_4^n \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$, where $f_m(x_1, \dots, x_n) = h(x_1) \cdot h(x_2) \cdots h(x_m)$. It is clear that $t(f_m) = m$.

The new bound $t(f_m) \leq \frac{\pi^2 m}{32} 4^m$ is slightly better than Valuzhenich's bound $t(f_m) \leq \frac{m 4^m}{3}$.

Method of the proof

We consider $f : \mathbb{Z}_q^n \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$ as a 2-coloring of a graph G such that $V(G) = \mathbb{Z}_q^n$.

(i) $I[f]$ is the number of mixed colored edges in a graph, estimation of $I[f]$ by using adjacency matrix of the graph (Nisan and Szegedy)

(ii) Estimation of the Hamming difference between functions from the same invariant subspace of the adjacency matrix (Valyuzhenich)

(iii) Using $\text{Cay}(\mathbb{Z}_q^n, S^n) = C_q \square \cdots \square C_q = C_q^n$ instead of $H(n, q) = K_q \square \cdots \square K_q$.