

An (almost) golden Kaisa S-box layer over \mathbb{F}_q for odd q

D. Verbakel¹ D. Kuijsters¹ S. Mella¹ S. Picek¹ L. Mariot² J. Daemen¹ BFA, September 7, 2023 Voss, Norway

Radboud University, NL

University of Twente, NL

ESCADA

- **1** mask and difference propagation is governed by the same rules
- ② forward and backward propagation is the same
- **③** every differential has $DP \le p^{-n}/2$ and every linear approximation $LP \le p^{-n}/2$

- 1 mask and difference propagation is governed by the same rules
- ② forward and backward propagation is the same
- **③** every differential has $DP \le p^{-n}/2$ and every linear approximation $LP \le p^{-n}/2$
- Can we find such a layer with S-boxes over \mathbb{F}_{p^n} with $p^n \neq 8$?

- 1 mask and difference propagation is governed by the same rules
- 2 forward and backward propagation is the same
- **③** every differential has $DP \le p^{-n}/2$ and every linear approximation $LP \le p^{-n}/2$
- Can we find such a layer with S-boxes over \mathbb{F}_{p^n} with $p^n \neq 8$?
- For p = 2 it is not likely

- **1** mask and difference propagation is governed by the same rules
- 2 forward and backward propagation is the same
- **③** every differential has $DP \le p^{-n}/2$ and every linear approximation $LP \le p^{-n}/2$
- Can we find such a layer with S-boxes over \mathbb{F}_{p^n} with $p^n \neq 8$?
- For p = 2 it is not likely
- But what about *p* odd?

Differential probability (DP) of a differential (a, b)

$$\mathsf{DP}(a,b) = \frac{\#\{x \in \mathbb{F}_{p^n} \mid f(x+a) - f(x) = b\}}{p^n}$$

Correlation and linear potential (LP) of a linear approximation (a, b)

$$C(a,b) = \frac{\sum_{x} \omega^{\operatorname{Tr}(ax-bf(x))}}{p^{n}} \text{ with } \omega = e^{\frac{2\pi i}{p}}$$
$$LP(a,b) = C(a,b)\overline{C}(a,b)$$

• Power functions seem like a good place to start

- Power functions seem like a good place to start
- Smallest exponent higher than 1: e = 2

- Power functions seem like a good place to start
- Smallest exponent higher than 1: e = 2
- For p = 2 this gives a linear function

- Power functions seem like a good place to start
- Smallest exponent higher than 1: e = 2
- For p = 2 this gives a linear function
- For odd *p* this is non-linear

- Power functions seem like a good place to start
- Smallest exponent higher than 1: e = 2
- For p = 2 this gives a linear function
- For odd *p* this is non-linear
- Let us investigate DP and LP

$$DP(a, b) = p^{-n} \# \{ x \mid (x + a)^2 - x^2 = b \}$$

= $p^{-n} \# \{ x \mid 2ax + a^2 = b \}$
= $p^{-n} \# \{ x \mid x = \frac{b}{2a} - \frac{a}{2} \}$
= p^{-n}

$$DP(a, b) = p^{-n} \# \{ x \mid (x + a)^2 - x^2 = b \}$$

= $p^{-n} \# \{ x \mid 2ax + a^2 = b \}$
= $p^{-n} \# \{ x \mid x = \frac{b}{2a} - \frac{a}{2} \}$
= p^{-n}

- Summarizing:
 - $\forall a \neq 0, b : \mathsf{DP}(a, b) = p^{-n}$
 - $\forall b \neq 0 : DP(0, b) = 0 \text{ and } DP(0, 0) = 1$

$$DP(a, b) = p^{-n} \# \{x \mid (x + a)^2 - x^2 = b\}$$

= $p^{-n} \# \{x \mid 2ax + a^2 = b\}$
= $p^{-n} \# \{x \mid x = \frac{b}{2a} - \frac{a}{2}\}$
= p^{-n}

- Summarizing:
 - $\forall a \neq 0, b : \mathsf{DP}(a, b) = p^{-n}$
 - $\forall b \neq 0 : DP(0, b) = 0 \text{ and } DP(0, 0) = 1$
- Non-invertible: any non-zero difference can propagate to 0

$$DP(a, b) = p^{-n} \# \{x \mid (x + a)^2 - x^2 = b\}$$

= $p^{-n} \# \{x \mid 2ax + a^2 = b\}$
= $p^{-n} \# \{x \mid x = \frac{b}{2a} - \frac{a}{2}\}$
= p^{-n}

- Summarizing:
 - $\forall a \neq 0, b : \mathsf{DP}(a, b) = p^{-n}$
 - $\forall b \neq 0 : DP(0, b) = 0 \text{ and } DP(0, 0) = 1$
- Non-invertible: any non-zero difference can propagate to 0
- DP(a, b) = DP(b, a) if $a \neq 0$ and $b \neq 0$

$$C(a, b) = p^{-n} \sum_{x} \omega^{\operatorname{Tr}(ax - bx^2)}$$

 $C(a, b) = p^{-n} \sum_{x} \omega^{Tr(ax-bx^2)}$

Hmmm ...

$$C(a, b) = p^{-n} \sum_{x} \omega^{\operatorname{Tr}(ax - bx^2)}$$

Hmmm ... where to start??

 $C(a, b) = p^{-n} \sum_{x} \omega^{Tr(ax-bx^2)}$ Hmmm ... where to start?? We find in [Lidl & Niederreiter 1997] Theorem 5.33 and can derive from that

$$C(a,b) = \frac{1}{p^n} \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_{p^n}} \omega^{\operatorname{Tr}(bx^2 - ax)} = \begin{cases} \frac{(-1)^{d-1}}{\sqrt{p^n}} \omega^{\operatorname{Tr}(-a^2(4b)^{-1})} \eta(b) & \text{if } p \equiv 1 \pmod{4} \\ \frac{(-1)^{d-1}}{\sqrt{p^n}} i^d \omega^{\operatorname{Tr}(-a^2(4b)^{-1})} \eta(b) & \text{if } p \equiv 3 \pmod{4} \end{cases}$$

 $C(a, b) = p^{-n} \sum_{x} \omega^{Tr(ax-bx^2)}$ Hmmm ... where to start?? We find in [Lidl & Niederreiter 1997] Theorem 5.33 and can derive from that

$$C(a,b) = \frac{1}{p^n} \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_{p^n}} \omega^{\operatorname{Tr}(bx^2 - ax)} = \begin{cases} \frac{(-1)^{d-1}}{\sqrt{p^n}} \omega^{\operatorname{Tr}(-a^2(4b)^{-1})} \eta(b) & \text{if } p \equiv 1 \pmod{4} \\ \frac{(-1)^{d-1}}{\sqrt{p^n}} i^d \omega^{\operatorname{Tr}(-a^2(4b)^{-1})} \eta(b) & \text{if } p \equiv 3 \pmod{4} \end{cases}$$

with $\eta(b) = 1$ if b is a square in \mathbb{F}_{p^n} and -1 otherwise.

• From this it follows that:

 $C(a, b) = p^{-n} \sum_{x} \omega^{Tr(ax-bx^2)}$ Hmmm ... where to start?? We find in [Lidl & Niederreiter 1997] Theorem 5.33 and can derive from that

$$C(a,b) = \frac{1}{p^n} \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_{p^n}} \omega^{\operatorname{Tr}(bx^2 - ax)} = \begin{cases} \frac{(-1)^{d-1}}{\sqrt{p^n}} \omega^{\operatorname{Tr}(-a^2(4b)^{-1})} \eta(b) & \text{if } p \equiv 1 \pmod{4} \\ \frac{(-1)^{d-1}}{\sqrt{p^n}} i^d \omega^{\operatorname{Tr}(-a^2(4b)^{-1})} \eta(b) & \text{if } p \equiv 3 \pmod{4} \end{cases}$$

- From this it follows that:
 - $\forall b \neq 0, a : LP(a, b) = p^{-n}$
 - $\forall a \neq 0 : \mathsf{LP}(a, 0) = 0 \text{ and } \mathsf{LP}(0, 0) = 1$

 $C(a, b) = p^{-n} \sum_{x} \omega^{Tr(ax-bx^2)}$ Hmmm ... where to start?? We find in [Lidl & Niederreiter 1997] Theorem 5.33 and can derive from that

$$C(a,b) = \frac{1}{p^{n}} \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_{p^{n}}} \omega^{\operatorname{Tr}(bx^{2}-ax)} = \begin{cases} \frac{(-1)^{d-1}}{\sqrt{p^{n}}} \omega^{\operatorname{Tr}(-a^{2}(4b)^{-1})} \eta(b) & \text{if } p \equiv 1 \pmod{4} \\ \frac{(-1)^{d-1}}{\sqrt{p^{n}}} i^{d} \omega^{\operatorname{Tr}(-a^{2}(4b)^{-1})} \eta(b) & \text{if } p \equiv 3 \pmod{4} \end{cases}$$

- From this it follows that:
 - $\forall b \neq 0, a : LP(a, b) = p^{-n}$
 - $\forall a \neq 0 : LP(a, 0) = 0 \text{ and } LP(0, 0) = 1$
- Imbalanced: all output masks are correlated to zero input mask

 $C(a, b) = p^{-n} \sum_{x} \omega^{Tr(ax-bx^2)}$ Hmmm ... where to start?? We find in [Lidl & Niederreiter 1997] Theorem 5.33 and can derive from that

$$C(a,b) = \frac{1}{p^n} \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_{p^n}} \omega^{\operatorname{Tr}(bx^2 - ax)} = \begin{cases} \frac{(-1)^{d-1}}{\sqrt{p^n}} \omega^{\operatorname{Tr}(-a^2(4b)^{-1})} \eta(b) & \text{if } p \equiv 1 \pmod{4} \\ \frac{(-1)^{d-1}}{\sqrt{p^n}} i^d \omega^{\operatorname{Tr}(-a^2(4b)^{-1})} \eta(b) & \text{if } p \equiv 3 \pmod{4} \end{cases}$$

- From this it follows that:
 - $\forall b \neq 0, a : LP(a, b) = p^{-n}$
 - $\forall a \neq 0 : LP(a, 0) = 0 \text{ and } LP(0, 0) = 1$
- Imbalanced: all output masks are correlated to zero input mask
- LP(a, b) = LP(b, a) if $a \neq 0$ and $b \neq 0$

forward propagation of differences and backward propagation of masks and vice versa follow the same rules:

forward propagation of differences and backward propagation of masks and vice versa follow the same rules: yes!

- forward propagation of differences and backward propagation of masks and vice versa follow the same rules: yes!
- If forward and backward propagation is the same, except for zero output differences and input masks:

- forward propagation of differences and backward propagation of masks and vice versa follow the same rules: yes!
- Ø forward and backward propagation is the same, except for zero output differences and input masks: almost

- forward propagation of differences and backward propagation of masks and vice versa follow the same rules: yes!
- ② forward and backward propagation is the same, except for zero output differences and input masks: almost
- **③** every differential has $DP = p^{-n}$ and every linear approximation $LP = p^{-n}$:

- forward propagation of differences and backward propagation of masks and vice versa follow the same rules: yes!
- ② forward and backward propagation is the same, except for zero output differences and input masks: almost
- **3** every differential has $DP = p^{-n}$ and every linear approximation $LP = p^{-n}$: even better!

- forward propagation of differences and backward propagation of masks and vice versa follow the same rules: yes!
- ② forward and backward propagation is the same, except for zero output differences and input masks: almost

3 every differential has $DP = p^{-n}$ and every linear approximation $LP = p^{-n}$: even better!

Now let us try to build an S-box layer from that!

An S-box layer of squaring in \mathbb{F}_{p^n}

• Input differences a active in a single S-box have $DP(a, 0) = p^{-n}$

- Input differences a active in a single S-box have $DP(a, 0) = p^{-n}$
 - in most constructions the adversary can apply such differences

- Input differences a active in a single S-box have $DP(a, 0) = p^{-n}$
 - in most constructions the adversary can apply such differences
 - presence of a collision is easy to detect and can be used as a distinguisher

- Input differences a active in a single S-box have $DP(a, 0) = p^{-n}$
 - in most constructions the adversary can apply such differences
 - presence of a collision is easy to detect and can be used as a distinguisher
 - unacceptable weakness

- Input differences a active in a single S-box have $DP(a, 0) = p^{-n}$
 - in most constructions the adversary can apply such differences
 - presence of a collision is easy to detect and can be used as a distinguisher
 - unacceptable weakness
- Output masks b restricted to a single S-box have $LP(0, b) = p^{-n}$

- Input differences a active in a single S-box have $DP(a, 0) = p^{-n}$
 - in most constructions the adversary can apply such differences
 - presence of a collision is easy to detect and can be used as a distinguisher
 - unacceptable weakness
- Output masks b restricted to a single S-box have $LP(0, b) = p^{-n}$
 - measurable bias in the output

- Input differences a active in a single S-box have $DP(a, 0) = p^{-n}$
 - in most constructions the adversary can apply such differences
 - presence of a collision is easy to detect and can be used as a distinguisher
 - unacceptable weakness
- Output masks b restricted to a single S-box have $LP(0, b) = p^{-n}$
 - measurable bias in the output
 - phases of bias allow determining whitening key, etc.

- Input differences a active in a single S-box have $DP(a, 0) = p^{-n}$
 - in most constructions the adversary can apply such differences
 - presence of a collision is easy to detect and can be used as a distinguisher
 - unacceptable weakness
- Output masks b restricted to a single S-box have $LP(0, b) = p^{-n}$
 - measurable bias in the output
 - phases of bias allow determining whitening key, etc.
 - unacceptable weakness

- Input differences a active in a single S-box have $DP(a, 0) = p^{-n}$
 - in most constructions the adversary can apply such differences
 - presence of a collision is easy to detect and can be used as a distinguisher
 - unacceptable weakness
- Output masks b restricted to a single S-box have $LP(0, b) = p^{-n}$
 - measurable bias in the output
 - phases of bias allow determining whitening key, etc.
 - unacceptable weakness
- problem is *local collision/bias*

Specification:

$$\gamma: orall 0 \leq i < m: y_i \leftarrow x_i + x_{i+1 \bmod m}^2$$

Specification:

$$\gamma: \forall 0 \leq i < m: y_i \leftarrow x_i + x_{i+1 \bmod m}^2$$

Specification:

$$\gamma: \forall 0 \leq i < m: y_i \leftarrow x_i + x_{i+1 \bmod m}^2$$

Still not invertible but no local collisions or bias

• DP(a, 0) > 0 implies that all coordinates of a are non-zero

Specification:

$$\gamma : \forall 0 \leq i < m : y_i \leftarrow x_i + x_{i+1 \bmod m}^2$$

- DP(a,0) > 0 implies that all coordinates of a are non-zero
 - $DP(a, 0) = p^{-nm}$

Specification:

$$\gamma : \forall 0 \leq i < m : y_i \leftarrow x_i + x_{i+1 \bmod m}^2$$

- DP(a,0) > 0 implies that all coordinates of a are non-zero
 - $DP(a, 0) = p^{-nm}$
 - In case of duplex it is infeasible to apply such a difference

Specification:

$$\gamma: \forall 0 \leq i < m: y_i \leftarrow x_i + x_{i+1 \bmod m}^2$$

- DP(a,0) > 0 implies that all coordinates of a are non-zero
 - $DP(a, 0) = p^{-nm}$
 - In case of duplex it is infeasible to apply such a difference
- LP(0, b) > 0 implies that all coordinates of b are non-zero

Specification:

$$\gamma: \forall 0 \leq i < m: y_i \leftarrow x_i + x_{i+1 \bmod m}^2$$

- DP(a,0) > 0 implies that all coordinates of a are non-zero
 - $DP(a, 0) = p^{-nm}$
 - In case of duplex it is infeasible to apply such a difference
- LP(0, b) > 0 implies that all coordinates of b are non-zero
 - $LP(a, 0) = p^{-nm}$

Specification:

$$\gamma: \forall 0 \leq i < m: y_i \leftarrow x_i + x_{i+1 \bmod m}^2$$

- DP(a,0) > 0 implies that all coordinates of a are non-zero
 - $DP(a, 0) = p^{-nm}$
 - In case of duplex it is infeasible to apply such a difference
- LP(0, b) > 0 implies that all coordinates of b are non-zero
 - $LP(a, 0) = p^{-nm}$
 - In case of duplex these biases cannot be observed

Specification:

$$\gamma: \forall 0 \leq i < m: y_i \leftarrow x_i + x_{i+1 \bmod m}^2$$

Still not invertible but no local collisions or bias

- DP(a, 0) > 0 implies that all coordinates of a are non-zero
 - $DP(a, 0) = p^{-nm}$
 - In case of duplex it is infeasible to apply such a difference
- LP(0, b) > 0 implies that all coordinates of b are non-zero
 - $LP(a, 0) = p^{-nm}$
 - In case of duplex these biases cannot be observed

But isn't the invertibility a global problem?

Probability when we randomly take two inputs, that the corresponding outputs collide, minus the probability that we choose two equal inputs:

$$\mathsf{CP}(f) = \frac{\#\{(x, y) \in G \times G \mid f(x) = f(y)\}}{|G|^2} - \frac{1}{|G|}$$

Probability when we randomly take two inputs, that the corresponding outputs collide, minus the probability that we choose two equal inputs:

$$\mathsf{CP}(f) = \frac{\#\{(x, y) \in G \times G \mid f(x) = f(y)\}}{|G|^2} - \frac{1}{|G|}$$

• Any permutation has collision probability 0

Probability when we randomly take two inputs, that the corresponding outputs collide, minus the probability that we choose two equal inputs:

$$\mathsf{CP}(f) = rac{\#\{(x,y) \in G imes G \mid f(x) = f(y)\}}{|G|^2} - rac{1}{|G|}$$

- Any permutation has collision probability 0
- A random transformation with domain G has expected collision probability 1/|G|

Probability when we randomly take two inputs, that the corresponding outputs collide, minus the probability that we choose two equal inputs:

$$\mathsf{CP}(f) = \frac{\#\{(x, y) \in G \times G \mid f(x) = f(y)\}}{|G|^2} - \frac{1}{|G|}$$

- Any permutation has collision probability 0
- A random transformation with domain G has expected collision probability 1/|G|
- We have also

$$\mathsf{CP}(f) = \frac{\sum_{a \in G^*} \mathsf{DP}(a, 0)}{|G|}$$

Collision probability of γ

$$\mathsf{CP}(\gamma) = \frac{(p^n - 1)^m}{p^{2nm}}$$

$$\mathsf{CP}(\gamma) = rac{(p^n - 1)^m}{p^{2nm}}$$

• For large p^n this is approximated by p^{-nm} , same as a random transformation

$$\mathsf{CP}(\gamma) = rac{(p^n-1)^m}{p^{2nm}}$$

- For large p^n this is approximated by p^{-nm} , same as a random transformation
- For $p^n = 3$ this becomes $\frac{2^m}{3^{2m}}$, a factor $(2/3)^m$ less than a random transformation

$$\mathsf{CP}(\gamma) = rac{(p^n-1)^m}{p^{2nm}}$$

- For large p^n this is approximated by p^{-nm} , same as a random transformation
- For $p^n = 3$ this becomes $\frac{2^m}{3^{2m}}$, a factor $(2/3)^m$ less than a random transformation
- Doing *r* rounds roughly multiplies this collision probability with a factor *r*

$$\mathsf{CP}(\gamma) = rac{(p^n-1)^m}{p^{2nm}}$$

- For large p^n this is approximated by p^{-nm} , same as a random transformation
- For $p^n = 3$ this becomes $\frac{2^m}{3^{2m}}$, a factor $(2/3)^m$ less than a random transformation
- Doing *r* rounds roughly multiplies this collision probability with a factor *r*
- A priori not problematic if the domain is large enough

• Output differences **b** compatible with given input difference **a** form an affine space

- Output differences **b** compatible with given input difference **a** form an affine space
 - dimension is # active elements in input difference a: $DP(a, b) = p^{-n HW(a)}$

- Output differences **b** compatible with given input difference **a** form an affine space
 - dimension is # active elements in input difference a: $DP(a, b) = p^{-n HW(a)}$
 - easy to specify basis and offsets

- Output differences **b** compatible with given input difference **a** form an affine space
 - dimension is # active elements in input difference a: $DP(a, b) = p^{-n HW(a)}$
 - easy to specify basis and offsets
- Given an output difference *b*, compatible input differences *a* form no affine space

- Output differences **b** compatible with given input difference **a** form an affine space
 - dimension is # active elements in input difference a: $DP(a, b) = p^{-n HW(a)}$
 - easy to specify basis and offsets
- Given an output difference *b*, compatible input differences *a* form no affine space
- Still it is possible to characterize in a simple way the set

- Output differences **b** compatible with given input difference **a** form an affine space
 - dimension is # active elements in input difference a: $DP(a, b) = p^{-n HW(a)}$
 - easy to specify basis and offsets
- Given an output difference *b*, compatible input differences *a* form no affine space
- Still it is possible to characterize in a simple way the set
- We have efficient algorithms for, given **b**

- Output differences **b** compatible with given input difference **a** form an affine space
 - dimension is # active elements in input difference a: $DP(a, b) = p^{-n HW(a)}$
 - easy to specify basis and offsets
- Given an output difference *b*, compatible input differences *a* form no affine space
- Still it is possible to characterize in a simple way the set
- We have efficient algorithms for, given **b**
 - Generate all input differences a for which DP(a, b) is above a given threshold

- Output differences **b** compatible with given input difference **a** form an affine space
 - dimension is # active elements in input difference a: $DP(a, b) = p^{-n HW(a)}$
 - easy to specify basis and offsets
- Given an output difference *b*, compatible input differences *a* form no affine space
- Still it is possible to characterize in a simple way the set
- We have efficient algorithms for, given **b**
 - Generate all input differences a for which DP(a, b) is above a given threshold
 - And find max_a DP(a, b)

Correlation

• Input masks *a* compatible with a given output mask *b* form an affine space

• Input masks *a* compatible with a given output mask *b* form an affine space

• dimension is # active elements in output mask b: $LP(a, b) = p^{-n HW(b)}$

- Input masks a compatible with a given output mask b form an affine space
 - dimension is # active elements in output mask b: $LP(a, b) = p^{-n HW(b)}$
 - easy to specify basis and offsets

- Input masks a compatible with a given output mask b form an affine space
 - dimension is # active elements in output mask b: $LP(a, b) = p^{-n HW(b)}$
 - easy to specify basis and offsets
- Exactly the same algorithms as for differentials but:

- Input masks a compatible with a given output mask b form an affine space
 - dimension is # active elements in output mask b: $LP(a, b) = p^{-n HW(b)}$
 - easy to specify basis and offsets
- Exactly the same algorithms as for differentials but:
 - with input and output swapped

- Input masks a compatible with a given output mask b form an affine space
 - dimension is # active elements in output mask b: $LP(a, b) = p^{-n HW(b)}$
 - easy to specify basis and offsets
- Exactly the same algorithms as for differentials but:
 - with input and output swapped
 - with left (i) and right (-i) swapped

Thanks for your attention!