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#### Abstract

A Boolean function with good cryptographic properties over a set of vectors with constant Hamming weight is significant for stream ciphers like FLIP [MJSC16]. This paper presents a construction for weightwise almost perfectly balanced (WAPB) Boolean functions with good nonlinearity and good weightwise nonlinearities. We have presented the comparison of nonlinearity and weightwise nonlinearities with other available WAPB Boolean functions, which shows that this class of WAPB functions has the highest nonlinearities.
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## 1 Introduction

An $n$-variable Boolean function $f$ is a mapping from the $n$-dimensional vector space $\mathbb{F}_{2}^{n}$ to $\mathbb{F}_{2}$, where $\mathbb{F}_{2}$ is a finite field with two elements $\{0,1\}$. Depending upon the underlying algebraic structure, the ' + ' symbol is used for the addition operation in both $\mathbb{F}_{2}$ and $\mathbb{R}$. In stream ciphers, Boolean functions are used as a filter function for generating pseudorandom sequences; in some block ciphers, these functions are used to generate round keys. In these classical ciphers, the inputs to the function reach the whole space $\mathbb{F}_{2}^{n}$, whereas for reducing multiplicative depth in lightweight ciphers, the inputs can be restricted to some subsets of $\mathbb{F}_{2}^{n}$. The inputs to the filter function that has been used in the FLIP cipher introduced in [MJSC16] are restricted to the vectors of Hamming weight $\frac{n}{2}$. The analysis of different cryptographic criteria of Boolean functions over restricted domains arises after the work of Carlet, Méaux, and Rotella in [CMR17]. Therefore to avoid the biased output, one of the important cryptographic criteria for a Boolean function is balancedness over the defined domain. Moreover, it is desirable to construct Boolean functions over the set of vectors $E_{n, k}=\left\{x \in \mathbb{F}_{2}^{n}: w_{H}(x)=k\right\}$ for $1 \leq k \leq n-1$ with good cryptographic properties to avoid attacks. In [CMR17], Carlet et. al introduced the concepts of weightwise perfectly balanced (WPB) and weightwise almost perfectly balanced (WAPB) functions, which are balanced over $E_{n, k}$ for all $k$ and its cryptographic criteria like nonlinearity and algebraic immunity over $E_{n, k}$.
There are several proposed methods for constructing WAPB and WPB (see [DLR16, CMR17, LM19, MZD19, TL19, LS20, MS21, MSL21, GM22, GS22, ZS22, ZS23, DM23]) in which the nonlinearity over $E_{n, k}$ of the defined functions have been discussed. Still, there is a noticeable gap in the upper bound of nonlinearity proposed in [CMR17] over $E_{n, k}$ (i.e., weightwise nonlinearity) and the known constructions. In our construction, we have attempted to reduce the gap in weightwise nonlinearity and also nonlinearity over $\mathbb{F}_{2}^{n}$.

## 2 Preliminaries

Let $\mathcal{B}_{n}$ be the set of all $n$-variable Boolean functions. Let us denote $[i, j]=\{i, i+1, \ldots, j\}$ for two integers $i, j$ with $i \leq j$. For any $v=\left(v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{n}\right) \in \mathbb{F}_{2}^{n}$, the Hamming weight of $v$ is defined as wt $(v)=\mid\left\{i \in[1, n]: v_{i}=\right.$ $1\} \mid$. The support of a Boolean function $f \in \mathcal{B}_{n}$ is $\sup (f)=\left\{v \in \mathbb{F}_{2}^{n}: f(v)=1\right\}$ and Hamming weight of $f$ is $\mathrm{wt}(f)=|\sup (f)|$. Let us denote $E_{n, k}=\left\{v \in \mathbb{F}_{2}^{n}: \operatorname{wt}(v)=k\right\}$ for every $k \in[0, n]$. The support and Hamming weight of $f$ restricted to $E_{n, k}$ are denoted as $\sup _{k}(f)=\left\{v \in E_{n, k}: f(v)=1\right\}$ and wt ${ }_{k}(f)=\left|\sup _{k}(f)\right|$ respectively. The Hamming distance between two functions $f, g \in \mathcal{B}_{n}$ is given as $\mathrm{d}(f, g)=\mid\left\{v \in \mathbb{F}_{2}^{n}: f(v) \neq\right.$ $g(v)\} \mid=\mathrm{wt}(f+g)$ and the Hamming distance between two functions $f, g$ restricted to $E_{n, k}$ is given as $\mathrm{d}_{k}(f, g)=\left|\left\{v \in E_{n, k}: f(v) \neq g(v)\right\}\right|=\operatorname{wt}_{k}(f+g)$. The truth table representation of a Boolean function $f \in \mathcal{B}_{n}$ is a $2^{n}$-dimensional vector representation, i.e., $f=\{f(0,0, \ldots, 0), f(0,0, \ldots, 1), \ldots, f(1,1, \ldots, 1)\}$. The algebraic normal form (ANF) representation is defined as $f(x)=\sum_{u \in \mathbb{F}_{2}^{n}} a_{u} x^{u}$, where $a_{u} \in \mathbb{F}_{2}$ and $x^{u}=x_{1}^{u_{1}} x_{2}^{u_{2}} \cdots x_{n}^{u_{n}}$ for $x=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$. The algebraic degree of the Boolean function $f \in \mathcal{B}_{n}$ is defined as $\operatorname{deg}(f)=\max \left\{\operatorname{wt}(u): u \in \mathbb{F}_{2}^{n}, a_{u} \neq 0\right\}$. Any $f \in \mathcal{B}_{n}$, with $\operatorname{deg}(f) \leq 1$, is said to be an affine Boolean function, and the set of all affine Boolean functions in $\mathcal{B}_{n}$ is denoted by $\mathcal{A}_{n}$. A Boolean function $f \in \mathcal{B}_{n}$ is balanced, if wt $(f)=2^{n-1}$. The nonlinearity of $f \in \mathcal{B}_{n}$, denoted as $\mathrm{nl}(f)$, is the minimum Hamming distance of $f$ to any affine function. That is, $\mathrm{nl}(f)=\min _{g \in \mathcal{A}_{n}} \mathrm{~d}(f, g)$. Similarly, all these cryptographic criteria are also defined for the $n$-variable Boolean function when the inputs are restricted to $E_{n, k}$.

Definition 2.1. [CMR17] A Boolean function $f \in \mathcal{B}_{n}$ is said to be weightwise almost perfectly balanced (WAPB) if, for every $k \in[0, n], \operatorname{wt}_{k}(f)=\frac{\binom{n}{k}}{2}$ if $\binom{n}{k}$ is even and $\mathrm{wt}_{k}(f)=\frac{\binom{n}{k} \pm 1}{2}$ if $\binom{n}{k}$ is odd.

Definition 2.2. [CMR17] A Boolean function $f \in \mathcal{B}_{n}$ is said to be weightwise perfectly balanced (WPB) if the restriction of $f$ to $E_{n, k}$, is balanced for all $k \in[1, n-1]$, i.e., $\binom{n}{k}$ is even and $\mathrm{wt}_{k}(f)=\frac{\binom{n}{k}}{2}$.

Therefore, a WPB function $f_{n} \in \mathcal{B}_{n}$ exists if $n=2^{m}$ and a WAPB function $f \in \mathcal{B}_{n}$ is called WPB Boolean function for $n=2^{m}$ for a nonnegative integer $m$. A WPB Boolean function $f \in \mathcal{B}_{n}$ is balanced, if $f(0,0, \ldots, 0) \neq f(1,1, \ldots, 1)$. Hence, there are $2 \prod_{k=1}^{n-1}\binom{n}{k}$

Definition 2.3. [CMR17] The nonlinearity of $f \in \mathcal{B}_{n}$ over $E_{n, k}$, denoted as $\mathrm{nl}_{k}(f)$, is the Hamming distance of $f$ to the set of all affine functions $\mathcal{A}_{n}$ when evaluated over $E_{n, k}$. That is, $\mathrm{nl}_{k}(f)=$ $\min _{g \in \mathcal{A}_{n}} d_{k}(f, g)=\min _{g \in \mathcal{A}_{n}} \mathrm{wt}_{k}(f+g)$.

Let $\triangle$ be the symbol represents the symmetric difference between two sets.
Proposition 2.4. [MS21] For a positive integer $n=2^{m}$, let $f_{n} \in \mathcal{B}_{n}$ with support

$$
\sup \left(f_{n}\right)= \begin{cases}\left\{(x, 1) \in \mathbb{F}_{2}^{2}: x \in \mathbb{F}_{2}\right\}=\{(0,1),(1,1)\} & \text { if } n=2 \\ \left\{(x, y): x, y \in \mathbb{F}_{2}^{\frac{n}{2}}, \operatorname{wt}(x) \text { is odd }\right\} \triangle\left\{(z, z): z \in \sup \left(f_{\frac{n}{2}}\right)\right\} & \text { if } n>2\end{cases}
$$

Then $f_{n}$ is a WPB Boolean function.
Proposition 2.5. [DM23] For $n \geq 2$, let $f_{n} \in \mathcal{B}_{n}$ with support

$$
\sup \left(f_{n}\right)= \begin{cases}\left\{(x, 1) \in \mathbb{F}_{2}^{2}: x \in \mathbb{F}_{2}\right\}=\{(0,1),(1,1)\} & \text { if } n=2, \\ \left\{(x, 0) \in \mathbb{F}_{2}^{n}: x \in \sup \left(f_{n-1}\right)\right\} \cup\left\{(x, 1) \in \mathbb{F}_{2}^{n}: x \notin \sup \left(f_{n-1}\right)\right\} & \text { if } n>2 \text { and odd, } \\ \left\{(x, y) \in \mathbb{F}_{2}^{n}: x, y \in \mathbb{F}_{2}^{n}, \operatorname{wt}(x) \text { is odd }\right\} \triangle\left\{(z, z) \in \mathbb{F}_{2}^{n}: z \in \sup \left(f_{\frac{n}{2}}\right)\right\}, & \text { if } n>2 \text { and even. }\end{cases}
$$

Then $f_{n}$ is a WAPB Boolean function.
The construction proposed in Proposition 2.5 is a generalization of the construction proposed in Proposition 2.4 to get a WAPB Boolean function. The construction proposed in Proposition 2.5 is important for our study as we will provide a construction that improves its nonlinearity.

Theorem 2.6. [DM23] Let $f_{n} \in \mathcal{B}_{n}(n>2)$, defined as in Proposition 2.5. Then $\mathrm{nl}\left(f_{n}\right)=2 \mathrm{nl}\left(f_{n-1}\right)$ if $n$ is odd and $\mathrm{nl}\left(f_{n}\right) \leq \operatorname{wt}\left(f_{\frac{n}{2}}\right)$ if $n$ is even.

For $n$ even, the nonlinearity of $f_{n}$ is very low as $X_{1}=\left\{(x, y) \in \mathbb{F}_{2}^{n}: x, y \in \mathbb{F}_{2}^{\frac{n}{2}}, \mathrm{wt}(x)\right.$ is odd $\}$ is the support of a linear function $\sum_{i=1}^{\frac{n}{2}} x_{i}$ and the cardinality of $X_{2}=\left\{(z, z) \in \mathbb{F}_{2}^{n}: z \in \sup \left(f_{\frac{n}{2}}\right)\right\}$ is $\operatorname{wt}\left(f_{\frac{n}{2}}\right)$. Further, for $n$ even and $k$ odd, $\sup _{k}\left(f_{n}\right)=\sup \left(f_{n}\right) \cap E_{n, k}=\left\{(x, y) \in \mathbb{F}_{2}^{n}: x, y \in \mathbb{F}_{2}^{\frac{n}{2}}, \mathrm{wt}(x)\right.$ is odd $\} \cap E_{n, k}=$ $\sup _{k}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{\frac{n}{2}} x_{i}\right)$ and hence $\mathrm{nl}_{k}\left(f_{n}\right)=0$. Therefore, in our technique, we attempt to permute the coordinates of the vectors of weight $k$ in $X_{1}$ to improve the nonlinearity by avoiding the linear patterns and preserving the weightwise balancedness.

## 3 A class of WAPB Boolean functions with good nonlinearity

In this case, $\mathrm{nl}_{k}\left(f_{n}\right)=0$ as described above. Here, we will present a class of WAPB Boolean functions by modifying $\sup \left(f_{n}\right)$ presented in Proposition 2.5. We observed that the nonlinearity becomes weak because the $\sup \left(f_{n}\right)$ when $n$ is even is close to a linear function. In our technique, we attempt to increase the nonlinearity by permuting the coordinates of some vectors in $\sup \left(f_{n}\right)$ when $n$ is even.

Therefore, it is assumed that $n>2$ and is even in this section. Hence, when $n$ is even, as Proposition 2.5, $\sup \left(f_{n}\right)=\left\{(x, y) \in \mathbb{F}_{2}^{n}: x, y \in \mathbb{F}_{2}^{\frac{n}{2}}, \mathrm{wt}(x)\right.$ is odd $\} \triangle\left\{(z, z) \in \mathbb{F}_{2}^{n}: z \in \sup \left(f_{\frac{n}{2}}\right)\right\}$. Then

$$
\sup _{k}\left(f_{n}\right)=\left\{\begin{array}{rlr}
\left\{(x, y) \in \mathbb{F}_{2}^{n}: x, y \in \mathbb{F}_{2}^{\frac{n}{2}}, \operatorname{wt}(x) \text { is odd }, \operatorname{wt}(x)+\operatorname{wt}(y)=k\right\} & \\
& \triangle\left\{(z, z) \in \mathbb{F}_{2}^{n}: z \in \sup _{\frac{k}{2}}\left(f_{\frac{n}{2}}\right)\right\} & \text { if } k \text { is even } \\
\left\{(x, y) \in \mathbb{F}_{2}^{n}: x, y \in \mathbb{F}_{2}^{\frac{n}{2}}, \operatorname{wt}(x) \text { is odd, } \operatorname{wt}(x)+\operatorname{wt}(y)=k\right\} & \text { if } k \text { is odd }
\end{array}\right.
$$

Now we will consider both cases of $k$ (i.e., odd or even) and will propose to permute the coordinates of some vectors in $\sup _{k}\left(f_{n}\right)$.

### 3.1 When $k$ is odd

In this case, $\sup _{k}\left(f_{n}\right)=\left\{(x, y) \in \mathbb{F}_{2}^{n}: x, y \in \mathbb{F}_{2}^{\frac{n}{2}}, \operatorname{wt}(x)\right.$ is odd, $\left.\operatorname{wt}(x)+\operatorname{wt}(y)=k\right\}=\sup _{k}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{\frac{n}{2}} x_{i}\right)$ as we discussed at the end of Section 2. The linear function $l=\sum_{i=1}^{\frac{n}{2}} x_{i}$ is independent of $y$. We attempt to break the independence and linearity on the cordinates in $y$ using the support of a nonlinear function $a \in \mathcal{B}_{\frac{n}{2}}$. That is, for every $x \in \mathbb{F}_{2}^{\frac{n}{2}}$ satisfying $l$ (i.e., $\operatorname{\omega t}(x)$ is odd), we keep $(x, y)$ if $y \in \sup (a)$ otherwise we replace $(x, y)$ by $(y, x)$. If $a$ is a highly nonlinear function, then the component $y$ is expected to be far from the linear functions and results a high nonlinearity in $f$.

Here, if $\mathrm{wt}((x, y))=k$ then $\mathrm{wt}((y, x))=k$. Further, if $(x, y) \in \sup _{k}\left(f_{n}\right)$ then $\mathrm{wt}(y)$ is even as $\mathrm{wt}(x)$ is odd. So, $(y, x) \notin \sup _{k}\left(f_{n}\right)$ if $(x, y) \in \sup _{k}\left(f_{n}\right)$. Therefore, replacement of $(x, y) \in \sup _{k}\left(f_{n}\right)$ by $(y, x)$ does not change the weight of the resultant function in the domain $E_{n, k}$.

Lemma 3.1. Let $a \in \mathcal{B}_{\frac{n}{2}}$. A function $f \in \mathcal{B}_{n}$ such that for every $k \in[0, n]$ and odd,

$$
\begin{align*}
\sup _{k}\left(f^{a}\right)= & \left\{(x, y) \in \mathbb{F}_{2}^{n}: x, y \in \mathbb{F}_{2}^{\frac{n}{2}}, \operatorname{wt}(x) \text { is odd }, y \in \sup (a), \operatorname{wt}(y)=k-\operatorname{wt}(x)\right\} \\
& \cup\left\{(y, x) \in \mathbb{F}_{2}^{n}: x, y \in \mathbb{F}_{2}^{\frac{n}{2}}, \operatorname{wt}(x) \text { is odd, } y \notin \sup (a), \operatorname{wt}(y)=k-\operatorname{wt}(x)\right\} . \tag{1}
\end{align*}
$$

Then $\mathrm{wt}_{k}\left(f^{a}\right)=\frac{1}{2}\binom{n}{k}$.

### 3.2 When $k$ is even

In this case, $\sup _{k}\left(f_{n}\right)=\left\{(x, y) \in \mathbb{F}_{2}^{n}: x, y \in \mathbb{F}_{2}^{\frac{n}{2}}, \mathrm{wt}(x)\right.$ is odd, wt $\left.(x)+\operatorname{wt}(y)=k\right\} \triangle\left\{(z, z) \in \mathbb{F}_{2}^{n}:\right.$ $\left.z \in \sup _{\frac{k}{2}}\left(f_{\frac{n}{2}}\right)\right\}$. Let us denote the set $L=\left\{(x, y) \in \mathbb{F}_{2}^{n}: x, y \in \mathbb{F}_{2}^{\frac{n}{2}}, \operatorname{wt}(x)\right.$ is odd, wt $\left.(x)+\operatorname{wt}(y)=k\right\}$ and $M=\left\{(z, z) \in \mathbb{F}_{2}^{n}: z \in \sup _{\frac{k}{2}}\left(f_{\frac{n}{2}}\right)\right\}$. In this case, the replacement of $(x, y) \in \sup _{k}\left(f_{n}\right)$ by $(y, x)$ is not straight
forward as in Subsection 3.1. If $(x, y) \in L$ then $\operatorname{wt}(y)$ is odd as wt $(x)$ is odd. As a result, $(y, x)$ could be present in $L$. Therefore, replacement of $(x, y) \in \sup _{k}\left(f_{n}\right)$ by $(y, x)$ can possibly duplicate an existing vector in $L$, which reduces the weight of the resultant function. Therefore, we attempt to swap two bits $x_{i}$ and $y_{i}$ in stead of swapping $x$ and $y$ as in the following lemma. For given $(x, y) \in \mathbb{F}_{2}^{n}$ where $x=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{\frac{n}{2}}\right)$, $y=$ $\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{\frac{n}{2}}\right) \in \mathbb{F}_{2}^{\frac{n}{2}}$, denote $\left(x^{i}, y^{i}\right)=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{i-1}, y_{i}, x_{i+1}, \ldots, x_{\frac{n}{2}}, y_{1}, \ldots, y_{i-1}, x_{i}, y_{i+1}, \ldots, y_{\frac{n}{2}}\right)$. That is, ( $x^{i}, y^{i}$ ) is obtained by swapping the $i$-th bits of $x$ and $y$.

Lemma 3.2. Let $f_{n} \in \mathcal{B}_{n}$ be the function defined in Proposition 2.5. For every $k \in[0, n]$ and even, let $W_{k}=\left\{(x, y) \in \sup _{k}\left(f_{n}\right) \mid \mathrm{wt}(x)\right.$ is odd, and there is an $i \in\left[1, \frac{n}{2}\right]$ such that $x_{j}=y_{j}$ for $1 \leq j \leq i-$ 1 and $\left.y_{i}=1, x_{i}=0\right\}$ and
$W_{k}^{\prime}=\left\{\left(x^{i}, y^{i}\right) \mid(x, y) \in W_{k}\right.$ and $i \in\left[1, \frac{n}{2}\right]$ such that $x_{j}=y_{j}$ for $1 \leq j \leq i-1$ and $y_{i}=1, x_{i}=0$ i.e., the $i$ obtained for $(x, y)$ in $\left.W_{k}\right\}$.
A function $g_{n} \in \mathcal{B}_{n}$ such that $\sup _{k}\left(g_{n}\right)=\left(\sup _{k}\left(f_{n}\right) \backslash W_{k}\right) \cup W_{k}^{\prime}$ for every $k \in[0, n]$ and even.
Then $\operatorname{wt}_{k}\left(g_{n}\right)=\operatorname{wt}_{k}\left(f_{n}\right)$ if $k$ is even.
Like in Lemma 3.1, now we will use the support of another Boolean function (possibly, a highly nonlinear) to swap $x^{i}$ and $y^{i}$ in some of $\left(x^{i}, y^{i}\right) \in W_{k}^{\prime}$ as defined in Lemma 3.2.

Lemma 3.3. Let $b \in \mathcal{B}_{\frac{n}{2}}$. Let $g_{n} \in \mathcal{B}_{n}$ as defined in Lemma 3.2 with $W_{k}$ and $W_{k}^{\prime}$. A function $h_{n}^{b} \in \mathcal{B}_{n}$ such that for every $k \in[0, n]$ and even,
$\sup _{k}\left(h_{n}^{b}\right)=\left\{(x, y) \in \sup _{k}\left(g_{n}\right):(x, y) \notin W_{k}^{\prime}\right\} \cup\left\{(x, y):(x, y) \in W_{k}^{\prime}\right.$ and $\left.y \in \sup (b)\right\} \cup\{(y, x):(x, y) \in$ $W_{k}^{\prime}$ and $\left.y \notin \sup (b)\right\}$.
Then $\mathrm{wt}_{k}\left(h_{n}^{b}\right)=\operatorname{wt}_{k}\left(g_{n}\right)$.

### 3.3 A class of WAPB Boolean functions

Now we will apply Lemma 3.1 and Lemma 3.3 to construct a WAPB Boolean function with improved nonlinearity.

Theorem 3.4. Let $a, b \in \mathcal{B}_{\frac{n}{2}}$. Let $f_{n} \in \mathcal{B}_{n}$ be the function defined in Proposition 2.5. Let $F_{n} \in \mathcal{B}_{n}$ with support $\sup _{k}\left(F_{n}\right)= \begin{cases}\sup _{k}\left(h_{n}^{b}\right) & \text { if } k \text { is even } \\ \sup _{k}\left(f_{n}^{a}\right) & \text { if } k \text { is odd, }\end{cases}$
where $f_{n}^{a}, h_{n}^{b}$ are as defined in Lemma 3.1 and Lemma 3.3 respectively. Then $F_{n}$ is a WAPB Boolean function.

The following is a recursive construction of a WAPB Boolean function.
Construction 3.5. For $n \geq 2$, let $F_{n} \in \mathcal{B}_{n}$ with support

$$
\sup \left(F_{n}\right)= \begin{cases}\left\{(x, 1) \in \mathbb{F}_{2}^{2}: x \in \mathbb{F}_{2}\right\}=\{(0,1),(1,1)\} & \text { if } n=2 \\ \left\{(x, 0) \in \mathbb{F}_{2}^{n}: x \in \sup \left(F_{n-1}\right)\right\} \cup\left\{(x, 1) \in \mathbb{F}_{2}^{n}: x \notin \sup \left(F_{n-1}\right)\right\} & \text { if } n>2 \text { and odd } \\ S_{n} \triangle\left\{(z, z) \in \mathbb{F}_{2}^{n}: z \in \sup \left(F_{\frac{n}{2}}\right)\right\} & \text { if } n>2 \text { and even } .\end{cases}
$$

Here $S_{n}=\cup_{k=0}^{n} \sup _{k}\left(F_{n}\right)$ and $\sup _{k}\left(F_{n}\right)= \begin{cases}\sup _{k}\left(h_{n}^{b}\right) & \text { if } n>2 \text { and even and } k \text { is even } \\ \sup _{k}\left(h_{n}^{a}\right) & \text { if } n>2 \text { and even and } k \text { is odd. }\end{cases}$

### 3.4 Experimental results on nonlinearity

In this section, we have presented experimental results on the nonlinearity ( $\mathrm{nl}\left(F_{n}\right)$ ) and weightwise nonlinearity $\left(\mathrm{nl}_{k}\left(F_{n}\right)\right)$ of $F_{n}$. We have chosen $a, b \in \mathcal{B}_{\frac{n}{2}}$, a highly nonlinear function

$$
a(y)=b(y)= \begin{cases}y_{1} y_{2}+\cdots+y_{\frac{n}{2}-1} y_{\frac{n}{2}} & \text { if } \frac{n}{2} \text { is even } \\ y_{1} y_{2}+\cdots+y_{\frac{n}{2}-2} y_{\frac{n}{2}-1}+y_{\frac{n}{2}} & \text { if } \frac{n}{2} \text { is odd. }\end{cases}
$$

This function is a bent function when $n$ is even and concatenation of two bent functions when $n$ is odd. Further, these two functions are easy to compute which is helpful for implementation in light weight

| $n$ | nl | $\mathrm{nl}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{nl}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{nl}_{4}$ | $\mathrm{nl}{ }_{5}$ | $\mathrm{nl}{ }_{6}$ | $\mathrm{nl}_{7}$ | nl 8 | nl 9 | $\mathrm{nl}_{10}$ | $\mathrm{nl}_{11}$ | $\mathrm{nl}_{12}$ | $\mathrm{nl}_{13}$ | $\mathrm{nl}_{14}$ | $\sum_{k=0}^{n} \mathrm{nl}_{k}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 8 | 96 | 4 | 16 | 20 | 16 | 4 | 0 | 0 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 60 |
| 9 | 192 | 6 | 22 | 45 | 45 | 22 | 6 | 0 | 0 | - | - | - | - | - | 146 |
| 10 | 416 | 9 | 36 | 69 | 94 | 73 | 12 | 9 | 0 | 0 | - | - | - | - | 302 |
| 11 | 832 | 11 | 50 | 113 | 163 | 173 | 117 | 34 | 11 | 0 | 0 | - | - | - | 672 |
| 12 | 1596 | 12 | 36 | 146 | 264 | 286 | 264 | 148 | 36 | 14 | 0 | 0 | - | - | 1206 |
| 13 | 3192 | 15 | 69 | 219 | 507 | 660 | 660 | 495 | 240 | 69 | 17 | 0 | 0 | - | 2951 |
| 14 | 6904 | 19 | 102 | 336 | 764 | 1083 | 1484 | 1079 | 654 | 299 | 30 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 5868 |
| 15 | 13808 | 22 | 147 | 474 | 1155 | 2013 | 2735 | 2670 | 1965 | 1154 | 465 | 75 | 22 | 0 | 12897 |
| 16 | 28152 | 24 | 64 | 564 | 1216 | 2547 | 5036 | 4610 | 5036 | 2919 | 1216 | 516 | 64 | 24 | 23836 |

Table 1: Listing of $\mathrm{nl}\left(F_{n}\right), \mathrm{nl}_{k}\left(F_{n}\right)$ and $\sum_{k=0}^{n} \mathrm{nl}_{k}\left(F_{n}\right)$ for $8 \leq n \leq 16$.
cryptography. Table 1 presents the nonlinearity and weightwise nonlinearity of the functions $F_{n}$ for $n=$ $8,9, \ldots, 16$, which are generated using Construction 3.5.

We have presented a comparison of weightwise nonlinearities of $F_{n}$ with the upper bound presented in [CMR17] in Table 2. Further, no upper bound is available for the nonlinearity of WAPB Boolean functions. Therefore, we have presented a comparison of the nonlinearity of $F_{n}$ with the upper bound of the nonlinearity of $n$ variable Boolean functions [dH97].

| $n$ | function | nl | $\mathrm{nl}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{nl}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{nl}_{4}$ | $\mathrm{nl}_{5}$ | $\mathrm{nl}_{6}$ | $\mathrm{nl}_{7}$ | $\mathrm{nl}_{8}$ | $\mathrm{nl}_{9}$ | $\mathrm{nl}_{10}$ | $\mathrm{nl}_{11}$ | $\sum_{k=0}^{n} \mathrm{nl}_{k}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 8 | $U B$ | 120 | 11 | 24 | 30 | 24 | 11 | - | - | - | - | - | 100 |
|  | $F_{8}$ | 96 | 4 | 16 | 20 | 16 | 4 | - | - | - | - | - | 60 |
| 9 | $U B$ | 244 | 15 | 37 | 57 | 57 | 37 | 15 | - | - | - | - | 218 |
|  | $F_{9}$ | 192 | 6 | 22 | 45 | 45 | 22 | 6 | - | - | - | - | 146 |
| 10 | $U B$ | 496 | 19 | 54 | 97 | 118 | 97 | 54 | 19 | - | - | - | 498 |
|  | $F_{10}$ | 416 | 9 | 36 | 69 | 94 | 73 | 12 | 9 | - | - | - | 302 |
| 11 | $U B$ | 1000 | 23 | 76 | 155 | 220 | 220 | 155 | 76 | 23 | - | - | 948 |
|  | $F_{11}$ | 832 | 11 | 50 | 113 | 163 | 173 | 117 | 34 | 11 | - | - | 672 |
| 12 | $U B$ | 2016 | 28 | 102 | 236 | 381 | 446 | 381 | 236 | 102 | 28 | - | 1940 |
|  | $F_{12}$ | 1596 | 12 | 36 | 146 | 264 | 286 | 264 | 148 | 36 | 14 | - | 1206 |
| 13 | $U B$ | 4050 | 34 | 134 | 344 | 625 | 837 | 837 | 625 | 344 | 134 | 34 | 3948 |
|  | $F_{13}$ | 3192 | 15 | 69 | 219 | 507 | 660 | 660 | 495 | 240 | 69 | 17 | 2951 |

Table 2: Comparison of $\mathrm{nl}_{k}\left(F_{n}\right)$ with the upper bound(UB) presented in [CMR17]
We compare the nonlinearities of our result with some recent constructions for $n=8$ in Table 3. The sum of the weightwise nonlinearity of our construction is highest for $n=8$ among the available constructions.

## 4 Conclusions and Future work

We have presented constructing a class of WAPB Boolean functions in $n$ variables from the idea of constructions presented in [MS21, DM23]. The experimental results on nonlinearity and weightwise nonlinearities show a good improvement and are the highest among the available constructions. For future work, we are studying the cryptographic properties of this class of WAPB functions and attempting to further improve the nonlinearities and weightwise nonlinearities by modifying this class of functions.

| $W P B / W A P B$ functions | $\mathrm{nl}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{nl}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{nl}_{4}$ | $\mathrm{nl}_{5}$ | $\mathrm{nl}_{6}$ | $\sum_{k=0}^{8} \mathrm{nl}_{k}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Upper Bound [CMR17] | 11 | 24 | 30 | 24 | 11 | 100 |
| Carlet, Méaux, Rotella [CMR17] | 2 | 12 | 19 | 12 | 2 | 47 |
| Li and Su [LS20, $g_{2 \text { q } 2+2}$ Equation(9)] | 2 | 12 | 19 | 12 | 2 | 47 |
| Mesnager and Su $\left[\mathrm{MS} 21, f_{m}\right.$ Equation(13)] | 2 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 7 |
| Mesnager and Su [MS21, $g_{m}$ Equation(22)] | 2 | 14 | 19 | 14 | 2 | 51 |
| Mesnager, Su and Li $\left[\right.$ MSL21, $f_{m}$ Equation(2)] | 2 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 2 | 28 |
| Mesnager, Su and Li $\left[\right.$ MSL21, $f_{m}$ Equation(3)] | 6 | 8 | 26 | 8 | 6 | 54 |
| Zhang and Su $\left[\mathrm{ZS23}, g_{m}\right.$ Equation(11)] | 2 | 12 | 19 | 12 | 6 | 51 |
| $F_{n}[$ Construction 3.5] | 4 | 16 | 20 | 16 | 4 | 60 |

Table 3: Comparison of $\mathrm{nl}_{k}$ of 8 -variable WPB constructions.
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