On the irrationality of the angles of Kloosterman sums over \mathbb{F}_p

Lyubomir Borissov^{*} and Yuri Borissov^{*}

^{*}Institute of Mathematics and Informatics, Bulgarian Academy of Sciences

Abstract

We prove that for arbitrary prime p the angles of Kloosterman sums over the field \mathbb{F}_p are incommensurable with the constant π .

1 Introduction

Let \mathbb{F}_q be the finite field of characteristic p and order $q = p^m$. As usually, we denote by \mathbb{F}_q^* the set of non-zero elements of \mathbb{F}_q , and by ζ_n the primitive n-th root of unity $e^{\frac{2\pi i}{n}}$.

Let us recall the notion of classical Kloosterman sum over \mathbb{F}_q .

Definition 1.1 For each $u \in \mathbb{F}_q$, the Kloosterman sum $\mathcal{K}_q(u)$ is a special kind exponential sum defined by

$$\mathcal{K}_q(u) = \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_q^*} \zeta_p^{Tr(x+ux^{-1})},$$

and the absolute trace Tr(a) over \mathbb{F}_p of an element $a \in \mathbb{F}_q$ is defined as

$$Tr(a) = a + a^p + \dots + a^{p^{m-1}}.$$

It can be easily shown that $\mathcal{K}_q(u)$ is a real non-zero number. Recall, as well, that the Weil bound (see, [12]) states:

$$\mathcal{K}_q(u)| \le 2\sqrt{q}.\tag{1}$$

This inequality implies the existence of a unique real number θ_u such that

$$\frac{\mathcal{K}_q(u)}{2\sqrt{q}} = \cos\theta_u, \ 0 \le \theta_u \le \pi, \ \theta_u \ne \pi/2.$$
⁽²⁾

The angle θ_u is referred to as *angle* of the Kloosterman sum $\mathcal{K}_q(u)$.

The behaviour of the angles of Kloosterman sums has been studied by many authors. Here, we only refer to some of these works (see, [1][2][5][9][11]), and that list is certainly far from being complete.

The simplest kind of Kloosterman sum is that over the prime field \mathbb{F}_p , i.e., of the form $\mathcal{K}_p(u) = \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_p^*} \zeta_p^{x+ux^{-1}}$. It is worth pointing out the existence of some successful attempts to prove that the inequality (1) is always strict for the angles of $\mathcal{K}_p(u), u \in \mathbb{F}_p$, so $\theta_u \neq 0, \pi$ (see [3, Theorem 8]).

In the present paper, we show that for any $u \in \mathbb{F}_p$ the ratio θ_u/π takes only irrational values, thus establishing additional constraints of the same type as the strictness of the inequality (1).

2 Preliminaries

We need some notions from Algebraic Number Theory (ANT) as algebraic number, minimal polynomial of an algebraic number and algebraic integer (see, e.g. [10, Chapter 3]). An algebraic number is one that satisfies some equation of the form

$$x^{n} + a_{1}x^{n-1} + \ldots + a_{n} = 0, (3)$$

with rational coefficients. (A polynomial having leading coefficient 1 is called monic.) Any algebraic number α satisfies a unique monic polynomial equation of smallest degree, called the minimal polynomial of α , and the algebraic degree of α (over the field of rational numbers \mathbb{Q}) is defined as the degree of its minimal polynomial. Remind, as well, that the set of all algebraic numbers forms a number field, i.e. the sum, difference, product and ratio of algebraic numbers are algebraic, too. If an algebraic number α satisfies some equation of type (3) with integer coefficients we say that α is an *algebraic integer*. The minimal polynomial of an algebraic integer is also with integer coefficients.

For more sophisticated concepts of ANT we direct the readers to [7, Chapter 2]. Herein, in the amount of knowledge needed for this paper, we recall some basic facts concerning those notions (possibly with slight abuses).

Let α be an algebraic number with minimal polynomial $f(x) = x^n + a_1 x^{n-1} + \ldots + a_n \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$. The *n* roots of $f(x), \alpha = \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_n$ are called conjugates of α . The *absolute* norm $\mathcal{N}(\alpha)$ of α is defined as $\mathcal{N}(\alpha) = \prod_{i=1}^n \alpha_i$. Evidently, $\mathcal{N}(\alpha) = (-1)^n a_n$.

In general, given a finite extension of number fields L/K, it can be defined the norm $\mathcal{N}_{L/K}(\gamma)$ of an arbitrary $\gamma \in L$, which in case $K = \mathbb{Q}$ and $L = \mathbb{Q}(\gamma)$ coincides with $\mathcal{N}(\gamma)$. ($\mathbb{Q}(\gamma)$ stands for the number field obtained by adjoining γ to \mathbb{Q} . In particular, $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_n)$ is the so-called cyclotomic field generated by ζ_n .)

We shall make use of the following properties of norm:

- $\mathcal{P}1$: If $L \supset \mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$ then $\mathcal{N}_{L/\mathbb{Q}}(\alpha) = \mathcal{N}^{l}(\alpha)$, where l is the degree of $L/\mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$.
 - Particularly, if α is an algebraic integer then $\mathcal{N}_{L/\mathbb{Q}}(\alpha) \in \mathbb{Z}$.
- $\mathcal{P}2$: (the multiplicative property of norm) For arbitrary $\alpha, \beta \in L$ it holds:

$$\mathcal{N}_{L/K}(\alpha\beta) = \mathcal{N}_{L/K}(\alpha)\mathcal{N}_{L/K}(\beta).$$

We also use previously known facts stated here as several lemmata.

Definition 1.1 easily implies the following lemma.

Lemma 2.1 The Kloosterman sum $\mathcal{K}_q(u)$ is an algebraic integer which belongs to the cyclotomic field $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p)$.

The second one is an immediate consequence of [4, Proposition 6.4.3].

Lemma 2.2 For arbitrary odd prime p, the number \sqrt{p} is an algebraic integer that belongs to the cyclotomic field $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_n)$ where

$$n = \begin{cases} p, & \text{if } p \equiv 1 \pmod{4} \\ 4p, & \text{if } p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}. \end{cases}$$

Lemma 2.3 For any $r = \frac{k}{n} \in \mathbb{Q}$ with relatively primes k and n > 0, the trigonometric value $2\cos(2\pi r)$ is an algebraic integer in the cyclotomic field $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_n)$.

Remark 2.4 Lemma 2.3 is a part of D. H. Lehmer's work [6, Theorem 1].

We shall need, as well, the next simple lemma.

Lemma 2.5 The cyclotomic fields $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_k)$ and $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_l)$ can be embedded in a common cyclotomic field, e.g., $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{LCM(k,l)})$ where LCM(k,l) stands for the least common multiple of k and l.

The last lemma is derived by [8, Lemma 11].

Lemma 2.6 For any $u \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$, the absolute norm of Kloosterman sum $\mathcal{K}_q(u)$ satisfies the congruence $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{K}_q(u)) \equiv (-1)^d \pmod{p}$ where d is the algebraic degree of $\mathcal{K}_q(u)$.

Remark 2.7 Since $\mathcal{K}_q(0) = -1$ then $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{K}_q(0)) = -1$ which means that Lemma 2.6 is still valid for u = 0.

3 Results and their proofs

We will prove the following theorem.

Theorem 3.1 Let p be an odd prime. Then, for each $u \in \mathbb{F}_p$, the angle θ_u of the Kloosterman sum $\mathcal{K}_p(u)$ and π are incommensurable, i.e., their ratio θ_u/π is an irrational number.

Proof: By Eq. (2) we have:

$$\mathcal{K}_p(u) = 2\sqrt{p}\cos\theta_u = \sqrt{p} * 2\cos\theta_u. \tag{4}$$

Assume, on the contrary, $\theta_u = 2\pi r$ for some $r \in \mathbb{Q}$.

Lemmata 2.1, 2.2 and 2.3 show that $\mathcal{K}_p(u)$, \sqrt{p} and $2\cos 2\pi r$, respectively, belong to some cyclotomic fields. Now, Lemma 2.5 implies that the number fields: $\mathbb{Q}(\mathcal{K}_p(u)), \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{p})$ and $\mathbb{Q}(2\cos 2\pi r)$ can be embedded in a common (cyclotomic) field L with extension degrees, say, e_1, e_2 and e_3 , respectively.

Further, on the one hand, by $\mathcal{P}1$ and Lemma 2.6 (with q = p) we easily get:

$$\mathcal{N}_{L/\mathbb{Q}}(\mathcal{K}_p(u)) = \mathcal{N}^{e_1}(\mathcal{K}_p(u)) \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{p}.$$
(5)

But, on the other hand, by Eq. (4) and properties $\mathcal{P}2$ and $\mathcal{P}1$ we consecutively obtain:

$$\mathcal{N}_{L/\mathbb{Q}}(\mathcal{K}_p(u)) = \mathcal{N}_{L/\mathbb{Q}}(\sqrt{p} * 2\cos\theta_u) =$$
$$\mathcal{N}_{L/\mathbb{Q}}(\sqrt{p})\mathcal{N}_{L/\mathbb{Q}}(2\cos\theta_u) = \mathcal{N}^{e_2}(\sqrt{p})\mathcal{N}^{e_3}(2\cos2\pi r)$$

Hence, by the apparent $\mathcal{N}(\sqrt{p}) = -p$ and by $\mathcal{N}(2\cos 2\pi r) \in \mathbb{Z}$ which is deduced from Lemma 2.3, it follows $\mathcal{N}_{L/\mathbb{Q}}(\mathcal{K}_p(u)) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$. The latter congruence contradicts Congr. (5) which completes the proof.

Remark 3.2 In case p = 2, we have: $2\cos\theta_1 = \mathcal{K}_2(1)/\sqrt{2} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$. Thus, $2\cos\theta_1$ is a root of $x^2 - \frac{1}{2} = 0$, so it is not an algebraic integer. Now, Lemma 2.3 implies the counterpart of Theorem 3.1 for binary case.

Example 3.3 Hereinafter, we present two examples illustrating the main statement.

- Let p = 3, so $\mathcal{K}_3(1) = -1$ and $\mathcal{K}_3(2) = 2$. Thus, $x^2 \frac{1}{3}$ and $x^2 \frac{4}{3}$ are minimal for $2\cos\theta_1$ and $2\cos\theta_2$, so these trigonometric values are not algebraic integers.
- Let $u \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$ with $q = p^m$ (p = 2, 3) be a Kloosterman zero. Then $2\cos\theta_u = -\frac{1}{p^{m/2}}$ and its minimal polynomial is: $x^2 - \frac{1}{p^m}$ in case m odd; $x + \frac{1}{p^{m/2}}$ in case m even. So, $2\cos\theta_u$ is not an algebraic integer and therefore $\theta_u/\pi \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$.

Remark 3.4 The assertion of Theorem 3.1 seems to be valid in more general settings. However, the precise statement and proof of this result are postponed to a forthcoming extended version of that paper.

As an immediate consequence of Theorem 3.1, we obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 3.5 The Weil bound cannot be attained by the sums $\mathcal{K}_p(u), u \in \mathbb{F}_p$.

Proof: Suppose for some $u \in \mathbb{F}_p$ it holds $\mathcal{K}_p(u) = \pm 2\sqrt{p}$. Then, evidently, either $\theta_u = 0$ or $\theta_u = \pi$ which contradicts the assertion of Theorem 3.1.

Acknowledgments

We are grateful to the anonymous referees for their comments and suggestions. This work is supported in part by the Bulgarian NSF under Contract KP-06-N32/2-2019.

References

- [1] O. Ahmadi, I. Shparlnski, "On the distributions of the number of points on algebraic curves in extensions of finite fields", Math. Res. Lett. 17 (2010), no.4, 689-699.
- [2] É. Fouvry, P. Michel, J. Rivat and A. Sárközy, "On the pseudorandomness of the signs of Kloosterman sums", J. Aust. Math. Soc. 77 (2004), 425-436.
- [3] G. Harcos, "Weil's bound for Kloosterman sums", preprint.
- [4] K. Ireland, M. Rosen, A Classical Introduction to Modern Number Theory, 2nd ed., Springer-Verlag, New York, (1990).
- [5] N. M. Katz, Gaus sums, Kloosterman sums and monodromy groups, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, NJ (1988).
- [6] D. H. Lehmer, "A note on trigonometric algebraic numbers", The American Mathematical Monthly, vol. 40.3 (1933), 165-166.
- [7] D. A. Marcus, *Number Fields*, 2nd ed., Springer International Publishing AG, part of Springer Nature (2018).
- [8] M. Moisio, "On certain values of Kloosterman sums", IEEE IT, vol. 55.8 (2009), 3563-3564.
- [9] H. Niederreiter, "The distribution of values of Kloosterman sums", Arch. Math. 56, (1991), 270-277.
- [10] I. Niven, *Irrational Numbers*, The Math. Assoc. of America, second printing, distributed by John Wiley and Sons, 1963.
- [11] I. Shparlinski, "On the distribution of Kloosterman sums", Proc. of the Amer. Math. Soc. 136 (2008), 419-425.
- [12] A. Weil, "On some exponential sums", Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA 34 (1948), 204-207.