

# Search for APN permutations among known APN functions

Faruk Göloğlu\* and Jiří Pavlů\*

\*Department of Algebra, Charles University in Prague

Almost perfect nonlinear (APN) permutations are of great interest to cryptographers, as they offer optimal resistance against differential cryptanalysis when used as S-Boxes. When functions over an odd number of bits ( $\mathbb{F}_2^{2m+1}$ ) are considered, examples of APN permutations are plentiful — indeed, all monomial APN functions are permutations. On the other hand, only one example (up to equivalence) of an APN permutation over an even number of bits ( $\mathbb{F}_2^{2m}$ ) — the “Kim”  $\kappa$ -function over  $\mathbb{F}_2^6[1]$  presented by Dillon 10 years ago. For higher dimensions the existence of APN permutations remains an open question.

Nevertheless, a steadily increasing number of APN function families over  $\mathbb{F}_2^{2m}$  are known. As being APN is an invariant under CCZ-equivalence, whereas being a permutation is not, one of the possible ways to search for APN permutations is to check whether functions from these families are CCZ-equivalent to permutations. A method for checking whether an APN function is CCZ-equivalent to a permutation is given in [1]. Indeed, it was this algorithm which was used by the authors of [1] when they found the only known such permutation. They also showed that none of the known families (at that time) leads to permutations up to  $n = 10$ . The algorithm is basically checking existence of two  $2m$ -dimensional subspaces  $U, V$  in the Walsh zeroes of the function, which is defined by

$$Z_F = \{(a, b) : \widehat{F}(a, b) = 0\} \cup \{(0, 0)\}$$

such that

$$U \cap V = \{(0, 0)\}.$$

This is a necessary and sufficient condition. In this note we give a necessary condition which allows us to completely check equivalence of all members of all known families up to and including dimension  $n = 12$ , and checking many representatives from all (componentwise-plateaued) families when  $n = 14$  up to even  $n = 18$ . As a result, we can state that none of the known families (to authors) are equivalent to permutations.

Complexity of the original algorithm is that of finding two trivially intersecting  $2m$ -dimensional subspaces in a set with cardinality approximately  $2^{4m-2}$ , whereas our algorithm requires finding two trivially intersecting  $m$ -dimensional subspaces in a set with cardinality  $2^{2m-1}$ .

As a by-product we give a very fast affine-invariant which leads to an algorithm to check equivalence of two functions on large extensions. We also discuss some partial inequivalence results for infinite families as it was done in [2] for Gold (completely) and Kasami (partially) families.

## References

- [1] K.A. Browning, J.F. Dillon, M.T. McQuistan, and A.J. Wolfe. *An APN permutation in dimension six*. Postproceedings of the 9th International Conference on Finite Fields and Their Applications, 518:33–42, 2010.
- [2] F. Göloğlu and P. Langevin. APN families which are not equivalent to permutations, submitted, 2019.